

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/314,926	05/20/1999	MUNEHIKO OHTA	35.C13527	5815
5514	7590 03/12/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		WALLERSON, MARK E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/314,926

Ohta

Office Action Summary

Examiner Mark Wallerson Art Unit 2622



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-50 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) X Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-12, 14-23, 25-31, 33, 34, 36, 38, 39, 41, 43, 44, and 46-50 is/are rejected. 7) X Claim(s) 3, 13, 24, 32, 35, 37, 40, 42, and 45 is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9)  $\square$  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  $\boxtimes$  All b)  $\square$  Some\* c)  $\square$  None of: 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5, 6 6) Other:

Art Unit: 2622

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

### Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-50 are pending.

#### Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statements dated 10/15/1999 and 2/7/2000 have been considered by the Examiner and is attached to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "each output apparatus" in lines 8 and 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2622

#### Claim Objections

7. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 10 of claim 2, "with kind information" should be changed to "with a kind of information". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 31, 36, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki (U. S. 5,228,118).

With respect to claims 1, 11, 21, 22, 36, 41, and 49, Sasaki discloses an information processing apparatus (figure 1) comprising forming means (printing device) for forming predetermined image information (figure 1); a plurality of modules (printer drivers) for processing and forming predetermined image data in accordance with characteristics in each output apparatus (printing devices in figure 2), and means for switching (which reads on printer driver selecting means) the plural modules in accordance with a kind of output apparatus (column 3, lines 1-13).

Art Unit: 2622

and outputting the information processed by the module to the output apparatus (column 3, lines 1-33).

With respect to claims 2, 12, and 23 Sasaki discloses forming means (printing device) for forming predetermined image information (figure 1); a plurality of modules (printer drivers) for processing and forming predetermined image data in accordance with characteristics in each output apparatus (printing devices in figure 2), and means for switching (which reads on printer driver selecting means) the plural modules in accordance with a kind of output apparatus obtained from the image forming apparatus (column 3, lines 1-13), and outputting the information processed by the module to the output apparatus (column 3, lines 1-33).

With respect to claims 5, 6, 15, 16, 26, and 27 Sasaki discloses the selecting is executed when a driver is installed (column 3, lines 1-33), and the switching is executed when data is outputted (column 3, lines 1-33).

With regard to claims 9 and 19 Sasaki discloses the forming means is a print driver common to each apparatus (column 4, lines 7-22).

With respect to claim 31, Sasaki discloses memory means (44) for storing a printer driver programs for forming image data and performing processes according to characteristics of a printer (column 6, lines 46-65 and column 3, lines 1-33) and executing the printer driver program (column 6, lines 46-61).

With respect to claims 46, 47, and 48, the data is transmitted to the printer (column 3, lines 27-33)

Art Unit: 2622

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 4, 7, 8, 10, 14, 17, 18, 20, 25, 28, 29, 32, 34, 38, 39, 43, 44, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki in view of Yano et al (Yano) (U. S. 5,907,666).

With respect to claims 4, 10, 14, 20, 25, 30 Sasaki differs from claims 4, 10, 14, 20, 25, 30, and 50 in that he does not clearly disclose the module offset transmits the information in accordance with a head of the output apparatus. Yano discloses transmitting offset data to an ink jet printer in accordance with a head of the output device (column 4, lines 28-55), the offset being performed by a printer driver (column 12, lines 42-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki wherein the module offset-transmits the information in accordance with a head of the output apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Yano in order to improve the efficiency of the printer.

With regard to claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 28, and 29, Sasaki differs from claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 28, and 29 in that he does not clearly disclose the information is quantized and binarized. Yano discloses a printer driver for binary coding and rasterizing print data (column 33, lines 37-47).

Art Unit: 2622

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki wherein the information is quantized and binarized. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Yano in order to improve the efficiency of the printer.

With respect to claims 32, 38, 43 Sasaki differs from claims 32, 38, and 43 in that he does not clearly disclose the module offset transmits the information in accordance with a head of the output apparatus, in which the head has recording elements with plural colors. Yano discloses transmitting offset data to an ink jet printer in accordance with a head of the output device (column 4, lines 28-55), the offset being performed by a printer driver (column 12, lines 42-56), wherein he head has recording elements with plural colors (column 4, lines 42-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki wherein the module offset-transmits the information in accordance with a head of the output apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Yano in order to improve the efficiency of the printer.

With regard to claims 34, 39, and 44, Sasaki differs from claims 34, 39, and 44 in that he does not clearly disclose controlling the offset transmission when data for a longitudinal paper is printed. Yano discloses controlling the offset transmission when data for a longitudinal paper is printed (column 33, lines 37-47). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2622

the art at the time of the invention to control the offset transmission when data for a longitudinal

paper is printed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Yano in order to improve the efficiency of

the printer.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 3, 13, 24, 32, 35, 37, 40, 42, and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mark Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2622

(for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA.

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

MARK WALLERSON